

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)

2 charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com

David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502)

3 davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com

Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649)

4 melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com

John Neukom (Bar No. 275887)

5 johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com

Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886)

6 jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com

50 California Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor

7 San Francisco, California 94111-4788

Telephone: (415) 875-6600

8 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

9 Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

12 WAYMO LLC,

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;  
16 OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING  
LLC,

17 Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE  
UNDER SEAL ITS OPPOSITION TO  
UBER'S MOTION TO COMPEL**

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11 and 79-5, Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”) respectfully requests to file under seal information in its July 28, 2017 Opposition to Uber’s Motion to Compel (“Waymo’s Opposition”). Specifically, Waymo requests an order granting leave to file under seal the portions of the documents as listed below:

Document	Portions to Be Filed Under Seal	Designating Party
Portions of Waymo’s July 28, 2017 Opposition to Uber’s Motion to Compel	Portions highlighted in green	Waymo
Portions of Exhibit 2 to Waymo’s July 28, 2017 Opposition to Uber’s Motion to Compel	Portions highlighted in red	Waymo
Portions of Exhibit 3 to Waymo’s July 28, 2017 Opposition to Uber’s Motion to Compel	Portions highlighted in green	Waymo

#### **I. LEGAL STANDARD**

Civil Local Rule 79-5 requires that a party seeking sealing “establish[] that the document, or portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law” (*i.e.*, is “sealable”). Civil L.R. 79-5(b). The sealing request must also “be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material.” *Id.*

#### **II. THE COURT SHOULD SEAL WAYMO’S CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION**

The Court should seal the information identified by Waymo in the table above. Waymo seeks to file this information under seal because it discloses Waymo’s confidential business information, including descriptions of internal Waymo documents discussing Waymo’s market and competitive analyses, plans, forecasts, and financial information. *See* Cooper Dec. ¶ 3. Confidential business information that, if released, may “harm a litigant’s competitive standing” merits sealing. *See Nixon v. Warner Commc’ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598-99 (1978). Waymo seeks to seal confidential business information squarely within this category. Cooper Dec. ¶ 3. Waymo has narrowly tailored its requests to only information meriting sealing. *Id.* Thus, the Court should grant Waymo’s administrative motion to seal.

1 **III. CONCLUSION**

2 In compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5(d), redacted and unredacted versions of the  
3 above listed documents accompany this Administrative Motion. For the foregoing reasons,  
4 Waymo respectfully requests that the Court grant Waymo's Administrative Motion.

5  
6 DATED: July 28, 2017

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN,  
LLP

7  
8 By /s/ Charles Verhoeven

Charles Verhoeven

9 Attorneys for WAYMO LLC